

Polar Mesospheric Cloud structures observed from the CIPS experiment on the AIM spacecraft: Atmospheric gravity waves as drivers for longitudinal variability in PMC occurrence

A. Chandran^{1, 2,*}, D. W. Rusch¹, A. W. Merkel¹, S. E. Palo², G. E. Thomas¹, M. J. Taylor³, S. M. Bailey⁴, J. M. Russell III⁵

¹ Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80303, USA

² Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80303, USA

³ Center for Atmospheric and Space Sciences and Physics Department, Utah State University, Logan, UT, USA

⁴ Bradley Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Virginia Polytechnical and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

⁵ Center for Atmospheric Sciences, Hampton University, Hampton, VA 23668, USA

* Corresponding Author. Tel: +1-720-240-7727

Abstract

The Cloud Imaging and Particle Size (CIPS) experiment is one of three instruments on board the Aeronomy of Ice in the Mesosphere (AIM) spacecraft that was launched into a 600 km sun-synchronous orbit on April 25, 2007. CIPS images have shown distinct wave patterns and structures in Polar Mesospheric Clouds (PMC), around the summertime mesopause region, which are qualitatively similar to structures seen in Noctilucent Clouds (NLC) from ground-based photographs. The structures in PMC are generally considered to be manifestations of upward propagating atmospheric gravity waves (AGW). Variability of AGW effects on PMC reported at several lidar sites has led to the notion of longitudinal differences in this relationship. This study compares the longitudinal variability in the CIPS observed wave occurrence frequency with CIPS measured PMC occurrence frequency and albedo along with mesospheric temperatures measured by the Sounding of the Atmosphere using Broadband Emission Radiometry (SABER) instrument on board the Thermosphere-Ionosphere-Mesosphere-Energetics and Dynamics (TIMED) spacecraft. Our results for the latitude ranges between 70°-80° show a distinct anti-correlation of wave structures with cloud occurrence frequency and correlations with temperature perturbations for at least two of the four seasons analyzed, supporting the idea of gravity wave induced cloud sublimation. The locations of the observed wave events show regions of high wave activity in both hemispheres. In the northern hemisphere, while the longitudinal variability in observed wave structures show changes from the 2007 to 2008 seasons, there exist regions of both low and high wave activity common to the two seasons. These persistent features may explain some of the observed differences in PMC activity reported by ground-based lidar instruments distributed at different longitudes. The statistical distribution of horizontal scales increases with wavelength up to at least 250 km. We also discuss the possibility of atmospheric tides, especially the nonmigrating semidiurnal tide, aliasing our observations and affecting the results presented in this analysis.

Keywords: polar mesospheric clouds, noctilucent clouds, gravity waves, mesosphere

1. Introduction

Noctilucent clouds (NLC) or Polar Mesospheric Clouds (PMC) as they are known from space based observations form in the cold summer mesopause region. This region is cooler than the winter mesopause region by about 90 K, with mean temperatures between ~ 128 K at the mesopause and 150 K at 82 km [Lübken, 1999]. For the pressure range representative of the mesopause, this is an environment suitable for water ice formation. Rapp and Thomas [2006] provided a review of the microphysics of PMC, and a model study describing a high sensitivity of PMC properties to temperature. Since the pioneering work of Hines [1968], atmospheric gravity waves (AGW) have been known to be the main cause of extensive band structures often evident in NLC. AGW are even more important in their role as the driver for the cold summer mesopause temperatures. AGW disturbances mainly originate in the lower atmosphere and propagate upwards, growing in amplitude due to decreasing atmospheric density, eventually becoming unstable and breaking in the upper atmosphere. The generally-accepted theory is that the momentum deposited during this process profoundly influences the mean zonal circulation pattern in the upper atmosphere, opposite to that expected from radiative equilibrium. This in turn forces a residual mean meridional circulation, upwelling and an adiabatic cooling that leads to the cold summer mesopause [Leovy, 1964; Holton, 1983].

NLC often display distinct small-scale wave patterns termed billows, with horizontal spacing of ~ 3 to 10 km) while the larger-scale bands exhibit horizontal wavelengths typically > 30 km [Witt, 1962; Fogle and Haurwitz, 1969; Gadsden and Parvianien, 1995]. Hines [1968] first proposed that structures seen in NLC were the manifestation of AGW. Later modeling work by Fritts et al. [1993] has also suggested that some small-scale mesospheric structures are likely secondary waves originating in breaking AGW. The issue we address in this paper is the effect of these waves on PMC resulting in an apparent longitudinal variability in PMC occurrences which is driven by a longitudinal variability in AGW activity.

Turco et al. [1982] first developed a one-dimensional version of the CARMA (Community Aerosol and Radiation Model for Atmospheres) model for NLC formation which included the effect of AGW induced temperature variations. Jensen and Thomas [1994] and subsequently Rapp et al. [2002] have used multi-dimensional version of the CARMA model to investigate the effect of large-scale monochromatic AGW on PMC formation and evolution. Turco et al. [1982] and Jensen and Thomas [1994] found that the net effect of wave-induced temperature perturbations is to decrease the cloud albedo, since ice sublimation is much more rapid than ice crystal growth. They also proposed that mean mesopause temperatures must be about 5 K colder for PMC to form in the presence of AGW than in their absence. Rapp et al. [2002], using an updated version of the Jensen and Thomas model, showed that AGW with periods longer than 6.5 hours can temporarily amplify PMC brightness while shorter period waves produce the opposite effect. Using rocket sounding measurements they also showed that wave associated temperature fluctuations as high as 6 K, 10 K and 20 K are present at altitudes of 80 km, 85 km and 95 km, respectively.

Hecht et al. [1997] provided observational evidence of wave-induced sublimation in PMC from lidar measurements made at Søndrestrom, Greenland. Gerrard et al. [1998, 2004] and Thayer et al. [2003] provided evidence from lidar measurements at Søndrestrom (67°N), that short-period AGW activity in the stratosphere is inversely proportional to PMC backscattering. Thayer et al [2003] also reproduced the measured weaker PMC backscatter and thinner clouds using CARMA modeling which included short period AGW. Conversely it should be noted that similar lidar measurements above Kühlungsborn (54°N), Germany [Gerding et al., 2007] ALOMAR (69.3°N), Norway [Schöch, 2007] and Davis (68.6°S), Antarctica [Innis et al., 2008] showed no significant correlation between PMC brightness and stratospheric AGW activity. Chu et al. [2009] have shown through lidar observations that PMC brightness is negatively correlated with stratospheric AGW strength at Rothera (67.5°S) while no significant correlation was found at the South Pole (90°S).

That an intimate relationship exists between AGW associated temperature variations and PMC have been suspected for years, yet a detailed understanding of the relationship is still lacking. The discrepancies of the PMC/AGW correlations between fixed lidar sites suggest that longitudinal/latitudinal differences in the character of AGW may be responsible. Ground-based studies provide valuable ‘snapshots’, but what is needed are global scale measurements, possible only from space-based platforms. The 5x5 km resolution images from the Cloud Imaging and Particle Size (CIPS) experiment, operating on the AIM satellite [Russell et al., 2009], are taken daily over the entire polar cap and can provide the new information to study these interactions, since they resolve most of the wave structures which affect PMC. Thomas and Olivero [1989] investigated longitudinal variability in PMC from the Solar Mesospheric Explorer (SME) data, but found no significant large-scale variations at latitudes poleward of 70° where SME had 65% or more coverage. In the following sections we analyze CIPS images to search for periodic structures in the PMC data during the 2007/2008 summer seasons. In section 2 we provide an overview of the CIPS and Sounding of the Atmosphere using Broadband Emission Radiometry (SABER) instruments. In section 3 the wave detection algorithm and its limitations as well as the selection of the latitude region for analysis are presented. We present results demonstrating the existence of longitudinal variability in the occurrence of these periodic structures and show anti-correlations between this variability and cloud properties measured by CIPS in section 4. We also show correlations with temperature measurements made from the SABER experiment at PMC altitudes. In section 5 we discuss the possible causes for the longitudinal variations in AGW activity and temperature and their effects on PMC formation and evolution which can cause the large scale variations observed by CIPS.

2. Observations

2.1 CIPS

The CIPS instrument [McClintock et al., 2009] consists of four UV nadir imager cameras that image the earth’s albedo with a bandpass centered at 265 nm and extending from 258nm to 274 nm (half-

power points). The four CIPS cameras have an overlapping field-of-view (FOV) of 120° (along orbit track) x 80° (cross orbit track). The details of the CIPS instruments, camera configuration and first results can be found in Rusch et al. [2009]. The four CIPS camera images are merged to form a single image that is referred to as a ‘scene’ that has the shape of a bowtie when projected onto a spherical Earth surface. CIPS cameras take an image every 43 seconds with an exposure time of ~ 1 second. On average each CIPS camera takes 26 images per orbit over the sunlit summer polar region. The analysis of wave structures in this paper uses images only from the most sunward viewing camera.

The pixels constituting a scene have a best resolution of 1 km by 2 km in the nadir. Data used in this study are averaged to give a spatial resolution of $\sim 5 \times 5$ km throughout the entire scene. In CIPS images, Rayleigh scattering from the atmosphere constitutes a bright background and PMC are seen as enhancements in the background albedo due to scattering from the ice cloud particles. Therefore accurate removal of the Rayleigh scattered background is a critical aspect of the data processing needed for cloud detection. The Rayleigh scattered background varies across the scene as a result of changes in both scattering and viewing angles [Rusch et al., 2009]. Bailey et al., [2009] describe in detail a technique for separation of PMC and Rayleigh radiances in CIPS observations.

A description of the various CIPS data products is available online from the AIM website at http://aim.hamptonu.edu/library/documentation/instruments/cips/cips_docs.html. The data used in this analysis for AGW detections is the level 1c format and the cloud occurrence frequencies are derived from the level 4 format. We have normalized the albedo of the level 1c data used in this analysis for scattering angle of 90° and a nadir (0°) view angle. This is accomplished by removing the $\sec(\theta)$ geometry factor to account for the view angle dependence, and by assuming a Gaussian particle size distribution with effective radius 60 nm and 14 nm half-width to account for the scattering angle dependence. This assumption follows that of Rusch et al. [2009]. McClintock et al. [2009] describe the flat-fielding and calibration of the CIPS images. Figure 1a and 1b are examples of two CIPS images with the Rayleigh background removed. Figure 1a is an image taken at low latitude where no clouds are present. The albedo

values along a trace taken through the image, denoted by the dashed red line in figure 1a, and the polynomial fit to the cloud albedo (in red) is plotted in figure 1c. Figure 1b is an image of PMC that contain multiple periodic structures. Four column-wise numbered traces denoted by red dashed lines are used to derive the horizontal scales of the wave structures present in the image. The cloud albedo and polynomial fit made to the albedo, along the longest trace, near the right edge of the image and denoted by number one is plotted in figure 1d. A comparison of the albedo values in figure 1c and 1d illustrate the quality of the background removal and flat fielding. We will provide an analysis of the wave structures in figure 1b in section 3 and figure 2. The forward camera images are used to maximize PMC detection capability, due to the strong forward-scattering behavior of PMC ice particles (Bailey et al, 2009).

2.2 *SABER*

SABER is one of four instruments onboard the TIMED satellite launched in December 2001 [Russell et al., 1999]. It is a 10 channel infrared limb scanning radiometer that produces altitude profiles of temperature, chemistry and energetics parameters with 2 km vertical resolution every 58 seconds over the range from ~ 180 km down to the upper tropopause. The analysis presented here is from Merkel et al. [2009] and uses SABER v1.07 temperature data that have been validated to a level of $\sim 2-3$ K [Remsberg et al., 2008]. Since temperature perturbations are being used for the analysis in this paper as opposed to absolute values, the effects of any temperature biases in the SABER results will be negligible. The latitudes viewed by SABER cover the ranges $52^{\circ}\text{S}-83^{\circ}\text{N}$ and $83^{\circ}\text{S}-52^{\circ}\text{N}$. Because the TIMED satellite orbit precesses by $\sim 3^{\circ}$ /day, the spacecraft must perform a yaw maneuver every ~ 63 days in order for the SABER detectors to avoid viewing the sun. Unfortunately the yaw maneuver occurs in the middle of the PMC season (provides data from about 35 days before solstice to about 25 days after) in each hemisphere. This results in the availability of continuous temperature data only from before the start to the middle of the PMC season. The observations presented in this paper are for 20-25 day periods centered around solstice in each hemisphere. SABER measurements cover all LT through this period.

3. Wave detection technique

An initial wave analysis technique for detection of AGW structure in CIPS PMC images was presented in Chandran et al. [2009]. The technique consisted of first visually identifying quasi-monochromatic wave structures in PMC, similar to structures seen from ground-based observations of NLC. Chandran et al. [2009] shows examples of CIPS images with distinct wave structures in PMC similar to figure 1b. In this paper we present results using an automated wave searching routine to detect periodic structures in CIPS PMC images. This section describes the steps involved in the wave detection process which is depicted in the form of a flowchart in Figure 3.

Traces across the camera image, which correspond to entire columns of pixels across the track of the orbit, are analyzed systematically for periodic structures. This is done for every column and 10 equally spaced rows in the camera image. The first stage in the wave detection process is to fit a fourth-order polynomial to the cloud data along the entire trace to estimate the underlying smooth PMC field. Periodic perturbations from this smooth cloud field are considered to be caused by wave structures in the PMC. The result of this polynomial fit is to act as a low pass filter, which is subtracted from the PMC data leaving only the periodic structures [see Chandran et al., 2009]. As described in the previous section, figure 1d shows the albedo values and the fourth-order polynomial fit to this data from the trace numbered "1" near the right edge of the CIPS image in figure 1b. The residual, after subtraction of the polynomial fit, is then calculated. Through trial and error, we found that the strongest visually evident quasi-monochromatic waves make perturbations in cloud albedo above $15 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sr}^{-1}$, while perturbations less than $5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ are possibly camera artifacts, which includes pixel to pixel variations in the camera sensitivity and the associated variations in brightness across the surface of the image, that might be detected as periodic structures. Hence for this analysis, results of AGW detections are for a threshold value of $10 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ for the mean albedo value of the residual, while the error bars on the wave detections are for thresholds value ranging from $5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ to $15 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sr}^{-1}$. Our threshold for wave

detection is conservative, since the CIPS detection threshold for PMC is a few $\times 10^{-6}$ sr^{-1} (Bailey et al, 2009, Rusch et al, 2009, McClintock et al, 2009)

A wavelet analysis [Torrence and Compo, 1998] is then performed on the residual to determine the horizontal wavelength of any existing PMC structure. The wavelet transform can analyze time series that contain many different frequencies, or in the case of a spatial scan, with many composite wavelengths, and is well suited to the analysis of finite 'wave packets' [Rodenas and Garello, 1998]. Compared to the Fourier transform, the wavelet method is more suitable for analysis of CIPS images which often show multiple wave structures. Because we are primarily interested in the wavelet power criteria, the choice of a "mother wavelet" is not critical and a Morlet wavelet was chosen [Torrence and Compo, 1998]. The wavelet analysis computes the mean power spectrum for the residual and if a peak in the wavelet power spectrum is significantly above the power levels of a non periodic signal, then it is assumed to be a true feature. We have used a significance level of 95% for our analysis which implies that the peaks have 5% probability of being caused by noise in the data. The 95% confidence level has been computed by multiplying the mean power spectrum of the series by the 95th percentile value for chi-square, distributed with two degrees of freedom denoted by χ_2^2 [Jenkins and Watts, 1968; Gilman et al., 1963]. The analysis presented in this paper considers peaks in the power spectrum lying outside of the so called cone of influence (COI), which is a region of the wavelet power spectrum where spurious peaks may be generated by the wavelet as a result of it introducing zeroes at the beginning and end of the data series to make it cyclic [Torrence and Compo, 1998].

Once a coherent periodicity has been detected, the image is then cropped to include only the part of the image containing the detected wave field. The cropping makes a section of the image that has dimensions of twice the detected wavelength from the center point of the detected structure in both directions along the column and 5 pixels (25 km) wide in the other dimension and also helps to reduce computing time. The cropped image is rotated through angles from 0- 180° to determine the orientation and true horizontal wavelength of the wave structure. The wavelet will show maximum power when the

wave front is orthogonal to the trace under analysis [Chandler, 2005]. The cropping of the image to 5 pixels in the dimension across the trace limits the angles to which waves can be detected for larger scale waves. Since that limitation can lead to a varying sensitivity with orientation for detection of longer wavelength waves, we make the assumption that AGW are distributed isotropically and do not have any preferential orientations. A study on the orientations of the detected wave structures is in progress where this limitation in detecting true wave orientation will be addressed.

For the purpose of this analysis, periodic structures are defined as a coherent set of at least three separated spatially-extensive (3 pixels or 15 km wide), linear crests in the CIPS PMC images. The periodic structures have to satisfy this condition to be ‘detected’. In many CIPS images the wave fields are seen extending over a large part of the image. In such cases, scans through the image will result in multiple detections of the same periodic structure. To eliminate this, the periodic structures also have to satisfy a uniqueness condition by which they have to be different from any previously detected structure in the image, by at least 10% of their wavelength. When two or more waves are detected in the same image with wavelengths within 10% of each other, the algorithm will always retain the first detected wave structure.

Figure 2 is a four-panel plot of the wavelet power spectrum of the residual along the four traces marked in figure 1b. Figure 2a is a plot of the power spectrum along trace 1. This trace is of sufficient length to include the large scale structures and the power spectrum clearly indicates a wave packet whose dominant horizontal wavelength is 255 km. In figure 2b, along trace 2, the presence of multiple waves with horizontal wave lengths centered around 150 km and 60 km can be seen in the wavelet power spectrum. The wave detection algorithm will cycle through the peak powers and crop the image to isolate these separate wave events as described above. Traces 3 and 4 in figure 1b are representative of the cropping and the wavelet power spectrum of these shortened scans are shown in figure 2c and 2d which clearly show structures with horizontal wavelength distributions centered at 60 and 158 km in figure 2c and 57 km in figure 2d. The automated wave searching routine has a higher sensitivity for wave detection

than the visual detection scheme presented in Chandran et al. [2009]. Visual analysis of CIPS images is biased toward the smaller scale structures. This is because CIPS images provide a ‘top-down’ integrated view of the PMC layer and very often the presence of multiple clouds across the image obscures the visual detection of larger scale wave structures, which are spread over a larger region in the image. The visual analysis is biased towards picking wave events in individual clouds and very often the larger scale structures will be missed. The method presented here represents a significant improvement over our earlier study.

3.1 Limitations of the analysis

In this section we discuss the limitations present in the wave detection scheme. Since the analysis was carried out on single camera images, the horizontal wavelengths scales that can be detected are limited from 10 to 300 km. The size of the camera image thus limits the horizontal scale of wave structures that can be detected uniformly throughout the camera. The uniqueness condition discussed in section 3 results in under sampling of wave structures. False detections can occur from harmonics of the original wavelengths in the detection algorithm. Each of these limitations are discussed in detail in the following paragraphs. The limitations presented here do not have any significant effect on the longitudinal variability of the derived wave structure.

The horizontal scales of the detected wave structures vary from 10 -300 km. Because of the three peak (trough) criterion for wave detection, only the shorter wavelengths (<165 km) can be detected uniformly in all parts of the camera. Above 165 km, the ability to detect wave structures in all parts of the camera progressively decreases with increasing wavelength and at the upper limit of 300 km, wave structures can only be detected in ~ 55% of the camera, along the center and at the edges. The results from the analysis of wave structures in section 4 are thus presented in two-parts for wave structures < 150 km and for all wave structures detected. The uniqueness condition which requires each detected wave to be at least 10% different in wavelength from all other waves detected in a particular image causes some

wave structures to be missed. However this should affect all the horizontal scales equally and not affect the longitudinal variability or distribution of horizontal wavelengths. Simulated studies of wave detections using the detection scheme have also shown that occasionally false wave detections occur at harmonics of $\sim 50\%$ and $\sim 25\%$ of the original wavelengths. We estimate that $\sim 8-10\%$ of the total number of wave detections is likely to be caused by such false detections. PMC layers are generally not horizontal and often display a tilt. Lidar soundings of NLC (e.g. Baumgarten et al., 2002, 2008, Schöch, 2007) have shown descending NLC layers with time. The maximum observed descent rates of < 100 cm/s (Thayer et al., 2002), possibly caused by wave related vertical winds, corresponds to maximum layer tilts $< 6^\circ$ assuming drift speeds of 10 m/s at the lidar site. Periodically tilted structures of otherwise homogeneous clouds will cause wave-like cloud albedo perturbations above our detection thresholds and enhance the detection of short wavelength waves which probably have higher maximum tilt angles. These geometric effects can potentially enhance the detection probability of the smaller wavelengths.

3.2 Normalization and selection of analysis region

Olivero and Thomas (1986) and Merkel et al. [2008] have shown that regions of high PMC brightness coincide with regions of high PMC occurrences. The CIPS AGW detections depend on PMC occurrence as well as brightness. Merkel et al. [2008] have shown that the PMC occurrence frequency as well as SABER measured temperatures at 83 km, has a longitudinal variability which is reproduced as over-plots along with the AGW longitudinal variability in figure 7. If the AGW activity was zonally symmetric (no variation with longitude), the AGW structure observed by CIPS in longitude is expected to be directly correlated with PMC longitudinal structure. This is due to the fact that CIPS can only measure AGWs when PMCs are present. To estimate the impact of this observational bias we have normalized the CIPS measured relative AGW occurrence frequency (WOF) by an estimate of the relative PMC occurrence frequency (COF). A weighting factor, which estimates the percentage of time that a PMC is present at a given location, was derived from the COF.

The COF is a level 4 CIPS data product derived from all four cameras and not just the most sunward viewing camera that was used for our AGW analysis. However the COF is primarily driven by cloud detections from the forward viewing camera due to ice scattering properties and the CIPS viewing geometry. The normalization can be explained using the following equation:

$$NWOFF(\varphi) = \frac{\frac{WOF(\varphi)}{COF(\varphi)}}{\min(\frac{WOF(\varphi)}{COF(\varphi)})}, \quad (1)$$

where φ is the longitude. After normalization of the AGW Occurrence Frequency (NWOFF) the observed longitudinal variability becomes more pronounced than in the unnormalized case, see Figure 5, indicating that this longitudinal variability is a robust feature in the observations and is not the result of a systematic variation in the occurrence of clouds.

Garcia et al [1989] and more recently Chu et al [2009] have postulated that at temperatures well below the frost point, AGW do not cause sufficient temperature change to significantly affect mean cloud brightness. However for temperatures near the frost point, the warmer regions of GW can destroy the ice and hence significantly affect the mean brightness. Chu's postulate was developed to explain the lack of a negative correlation of stratospheric PMC occurrence rates with AGW activity at the South Pole, while the presence of negative correlations were observed at Rothera (67.5° S). In our study we restrict our analysis to the latitude band between 70° and 80°, so that the range of temperatures, measured by SABER, is only about 2K about the mean temperature of ~ 138K, which is well below the frost point. We have performed a series of 2D CARMA modeling studies (which will be described in a forthcoming publication) that show that at these mean temperatures, the small changes in temperature (~2K) do not significantly affect the overall cloud albedo or produce significant variations in albedo perturbations due to AGW. Hence the observed variations in local temperature do not influence our ability to detect AGW.

At the higher latitudes where the longitudes are spatially closer, a detected wave will likely extend over multiple longitudes effectively masking longitudinal variations. Equatorward of 65° in both

hemispheres, the PMC occurrences are fewer in number and the data do not provide sufficient statistics to perform a longitudinal variability study. Hence the longitudinal analysis presented in this paper is limited to latitudes between 70° and 80° .

4. Results

In this section we present details of the longitudinal variability as well as a distribution of horizontal scales in periodic structures detected in PMC in the 2007 and 2008 Northern Hemisphere (NH), 2007/08 and 2008/2009 Southern Hemisphere (SH) summer seasons. The NH PMC season runs from the last week of May to late August while the SH PMC season runs from December through the middle of March.

Figure 4 shows the distribution of the horizontal wavelengths for PMC structures detected in the four seasons. In the two NH seasons and 2008/2009 SH season, 1125 orbits were analyzed while for the 2007/2008 SH season 650 orbits were analyzed. The fewer number of orbits in the 2007/2008 SH was due to a one-time operational issue with the satellite, resulting in data loss near season's end. Figure 4a shows the distribution of structures with horizontal scales less than 150 km which can be detected uniformly throughout the entire camera. Figure 4b shows the distribution of the entire spectrum of PMC detected structures. As can be seen in the figure, in all the seasons there are more larger scale waves than shorter scale waves. In both hemispheres, the distributions of horizontal wavelengths show a peak at ~ 250 - 300 km, close to the largest resolvable horizontal wavelength from our analysis. The number of wave events detected per orbit is approximately the same (~ 11 /orbit) in the 2007 NH season and both the SH seasons while the 2008 NH season is slightly higher (~ 12 /orbit). It should be noted that in the SH generally there are less PMC compared to the NH and hence the number of waves detected per PMC is higher in the SH than in the NH.

To investigate the longitudinal variability in wave occurrence, the WOF for the latitude band between 70° to 80° in both hemispheres is plotted in 15° longitude bins and compared with similar cloud

occurrence frequency plots from CIPS and deviations from SABER measured mean temperatures at 83 km derived from an analysis done by Merkel et al. [2009]. The mean SABER temperatures were ~ 138 K for 2007 and 2008 NH season while the SH was significantly warmer at 145 K for the 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 SH seasons. To make this comparison, data from summer solstice to 25 days after solstice for the NH and 10 days before and after solstice (a total of 20 days) for the SH were averaged in specific longitude bins. The choice of 20 days (from 10 days before solstice to 10 days after solstice) in the SH was because we did not have continuous coverage in SABER data for the required 25 days after solstice. Merkel et al. [2009] have shown that planetary wave signatures are seen in temperature as well as PMC albedo (frequency). The choice of the 25 and 20 day periods minimizes the effects from planetary wave activity and any short term (day-to-day) influences.

Figures 5, 6 and 7 are four panel plots illustrating the longitudinal variability in the observed PMC structures for all detected wave structures (WOF), wavelengths less than 150 km and for all detected wave structures normalized by COF (NWOF) respectively. Panels, (a), (b), (c) and (d) are for the 2007 NH, 2008 NH, 2007/2008 SH and 2008/2009 SH PMC seasons respectively. The WOF have been normalized, so that a value of one corresponds to the longitude bin with lowest wave activity. As discussed earlier, the WOF values are plotted for a detection threshold of $10 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ while the error bars in the plots are the standard deviation of wave occurrence frequencies derived from using different detection thresholds ranging from $5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ to $15 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sr}^{-1}$. As can be seen from the plots, changing the threshold values does not appreciably affect the longitudinal variability present in the detected structures. The wave structure occurrence observed in CIPS data shows a negative correlation with CIPS observed PMC occurrence and a positive correlation with SABER measured temperatures at 83 km. Table 1 lists the correlation of the CIPS observed wave structures with PMC occurrences and SABER temperatures. The correlation coefficients have been calculated as the ratio of the covariance of the COF and AGW occurrences to the product of their standard deviations and values above 0.5 indicate significant correlations at 95% confidence levels. In all the four seasons, the AGW occurrence frequency

and PMC occurrence frequency show significant anti-correlations. For the 2007 NH and 2007/08 SH seasons the AGW occurrences are correlated with SABER temperatures while in 2008 NH and 2008/09 SH there does not appear to be a significant correlation. As stated in the previous section, since the AGW detections depend on PMC occurrence, the AGW occurrence was expected (if there were no longitudinal variation in AGW activity) to be correlated with PMC occurrence and hence normalizing the AGW occurrence frequency with PMC occurrence frequency should have resulted in a straight line in the absence of any zonal variation in AGW activity. However figure 5 shows that there is a clear longitudinal variation in AGW activity even without normalizing the WOF with the COF. Column 1 in table 1 lists the correlation coefficients of the unnormalized WOF with PMC occurrence and SABER temperatures for all four seasons while column 2 lists the corresponding correlation coefficients for the NWOFF. Normalizing the WOF with COF does not change the correlation coefficients appreciably (< 0.1) except for the 2008 NH season. The longitudinal variability is a stationary feature which does not change character with time during the period of analysis.

From the four seasons of PMC data analyzed, it can be observed that there are regions of high and low wave activity in both hemispheres. In the 2007 and 2008 NH and the 2008/2009 SH seasons, the magnitude of variation in AGW activity detected in PMC vary by as much as 30% between the region with highest and lowest wave activity. In the 2007/2008 SH season the magnitude of variation in wave activity between low and high wave activity regions is as much as 140%. Between the 2007 and 2008 NH PMC seasons, while there is a change in the structure of the longitudinal variability in the observed PMC structures, the regions of lowest wave activity and highest PMC occurrence remains the same (north of continental Asia between 60° and 120° East). While the longitudinal structure remains the same in the eastern hemisphere, the western hemisphere shows significant differences between the two seasons. Regions such as Western Greenland and Canada (50° - 120° W), North of Central Russia (60° - 90° E) over the Ural mountains and south of the Antarctic Peninsula (30° - 90° W) appear as regions of high AGW activity.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

5.1 Influence of tides on observations

The AIM spacecraft is in a sun synchronous orbit thus only making measurements at two local times each day. As is the case for any discretely sampled time series, oscillations present at periods less than half the sampling period will be aliased in the spectrum. Because satellites sample in a coupled time-longitude frame the aliasing relation is also coupled in frequency and wavenumber. Details of the underlying aliasing theory for sun-synchronous satellites can be found in Salby [1982a,b]. Forbes et al. [2008] have shown that atmospheric tides, which are global scale oscillations with periods that are a harmonic of a solar day, can be expressed as $A_{n,s} \cos(n\Omega t_{LT} - (s-n)\lambda - \phi_{n,s})$ where A and ϕ are the wave amplitude and phase, Ω is the rotational rate of the Earth ($2\pi \text{ day}^{-1}$), t_{LT} is local time (days), λ is longitude (radians), s is the zonal wavenumber (0,1,2,3, ...) and n is the solar harmonic index ($\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots$) where the sign indicates the direction of propagation (positive westward). For example $n = +1$ indicates the diurnal tide with a period of 24 hours while $n = +2$ indicates the semidiurnal tide with a period of 12 hours and so forth. By definition the migrating tides are fixed in local time such that $s=n$. For example $s=n=1$ is the migrating diurnal tide and $s=n=2$ is the migrating semidiurnal tide. For a sun synchronous satellite, sampling at a single local time, an ambiguity between time and longitude arises, thus giving rise to the aliasing effect mentioned above. As a result waves where $|s-n| = k$ are constant cannot be distinguished and are aliased. Consider the migrating tides where $s=n$ thus $k=0$, implies that using data from a sun synchronous satellite which samples at a single local time the effects of the migrating tides cannot be resolved and in fact these components alias to the zonal mean ($s=n=0$). With observations from two local times the alias impact can be reduced.

While we are not concerned with the impact of the migrating tides here we are concerned with the nonmigrating tides ($s \neq n=1,2,\dots$), which alias in a similar way to stationary planetary waves. Stationary planetary waves have no temporal variation ($n=0$) but have longitudinal variation ($s=1,2,\dots$), such as shown

in the zonal structure observed in the CIPS and SABER data presented herein. A zonal wavenumber 2 stationary planetary wave will have $k=2$ as will the nonmigrating diurnal tide ($n=1$) with zonal wavenumbers $s=3$ or -1 and the nonmigrating semidiurnal tide ($n=2$) zonal wavenumbers $s=0$ or 4 . Satellite [Zhang et al, 2006] and model [Hagan and Forbes, 2002; 2003] results indicate that the westward propagating $s=3$ (W3) and eastward propagating $s=2$ (E2) nonmigrating diurnal tidal components are not effectively excited in the atmosphere. Additionally, using a network of ground based radars in the Antarctic, Murphy et al. [2006] did not observe any significant nonmigrating diurnal tidal components indicating that the likelihood is small that these components could be aliased in the results presented here.

It is known that a large nonmigrating $s=-1$ (W1) semidiurnal tide exists during the summer in the Antarctic mesosphere and lower thermosphere [Hernandez et al., 1993; Portnyagin et al., 1998]. Additionally Murphy et al., [2006] have shown the existence of a zonally symmetric $s=0$ semidiurnal tide in the horizontal wind field present in the summer MLT above Antarctica. Recently Iimura et al. [2009] produced a climatology of the nonmigrating tides in the meridional wind field over Antarctica which shows the presence of a strong W1 component and weaker S0 component but no significant W4 component.

Of the four possible nonmigrating tidal components which could be aliased in the CIPS data only one, the S0 nonmigrating semidiurnal tide has been shown to exist at high latitudes. Because the TIMED satellite is not sun synchronous which implies precession over local time and the data presented were analyzed over a 30 day interval, the potential of S0 nonmigrating semidiurnal tide aliasing into the SABER results is low. However since little is known about the latitudinal structure of the $s=0$ nonmigrating semidiurnal tide in temperature we cannot discount the possibility that this component impacts the 30 day averaged SABER results.

The influence of the S0 nonmigrating semidiurnal tide must also be considered in the interpretation of the CIPS AGW results. The longitudinal variability in the gravity waves observed by

CIPS could be due to variations in the source region or variations in the intervening wind field, thus selectively filtering and eliminating some portion of the gravity wave spectrum. If one considers the possibility of filtering, an $s=2$ longitude structure could be due to a stationary planetary wave pattern present in the wind field or a nonmigrating semidiurnal S_0 structure as the resulting $s=2$ structure would appear the same from a sun synchronous satellite. Since stationary planetary wave activity is typically minimum during the summer months [Smith, 1997; 2003] the S_0 nonmigrating semidiurnal tide could be playing the more important role in the observed gravity wave structure. At this point, more work is necessary to understand the extent to which tides may influence our results. This work is underway but is beyond the scope of this paper. For now, we assume there is no influence of tides on our results.

5.2 Summary of Results

The most significant result from our analysis is the presence of a longitudinal variability in PMC wave structures as well as PMC occurrence and temperature. The longitudinal variability in PMC wave structures is anti-correlated with the PMC variability, while deviations in SABER temperatures from mean temperatures at 83 km is correlated with AGW occurrences in two out of the four seasons. Large heating or cooling rates in the middle atmosphere, possibly related to dissipation of AGW, were found in observations by Tao and Gardner [1995] and Meriwether et al. [1998]. Walterscheid [1981a] and Liu [2000] have shown that AGW breaking in the lower thermosphere may produce a downward heat flux, which cools the mesopause region and heats the region immediately below. An inspection of SABER temperature structure at different altitudes indicates that the warmer regions at PMC altitudes have colder regions above, and at other times vice versa. Based on these results, we suggest that dissipating AGW are heating the atmosphere at PMC altitudes, either adiabatically during their propagation or by driving a downward heat flux from the altitudes at which they break, which in turn heats the atmosphere, causing ice sublimation and hence fewer and dimmer PMC.

In both hemispheres, the distributions of horizontal wavelengths show a peak at $\sim 250\text{-}300$ km, close to the largest resolvable horizontal wavelength from our analysis. Carbary et al. [2000], using data from the ultraviolet and visible imaging and spectrographic imaging instrument (UVISI) on the Midcourse Space Experiment (MSX) satellite, observed PMC horizontal structures along the sub-satellite track, ranging from 100 to 3000 km with a peak at $\sim 300\text{-}400$ km. The PMC occurrence frequency and brightness measured from the OSIRIS instrument on board the ODIN satellite have shown similar longitudinal patterns in PMC occurrences with low PMC occurrence and brightness being observed consistently between $70^\circ\text{ W}\text{-}120^\circ\text{ W}$ in the SH over the Antarctic peninsula and between $50^\circ\text{ E} - 130^\circ\text{ E}$ in the NH [Petelina and Rusch, 2008].

Gerrard et al. [2004] used a ray tracing model to show that the AGW observed over Søndrestrom were most likely generated from convective sources over Baffin Bay, west of Greenland. While it is possible that the waves observed in PMC images are generated from convective sources or from geostrophic adjustments and propagate to the regions where they are observed. However the regions of high AGW activity described in section 4 suggest that these AGW could be orographic in origin. Since orographically generated GW (mountain waves) have phase speeds close to zero, they are expected to propagate to mesospheric heights only during the winter months when the zero wind line (a critical level for mountain waves) lies above 90 km [Eckermann, 1995]. Fritts et al. [2009] have shown that secondary waves may be generated from wave-wave interactions and wave breaking. Secondary waves generated from mountain waves breaking at critical levels below 83 km could propagate to PMC altitudes and account for wave activity over orographic sources. A recent study [K. Nielsen, personal communication, Naval Research Laboratory, 2009] using NOGAPS-ALPHA model show that the meridional and zonal wind structure in summer could allow ‘clear channels’ to exist, which would allow mountain waves (with zero phase speeds) to propagate to mesospheric altitudes even in summer.

Our observations support the theory postulated by Gerrard et al. [2002] and indicated by Turco et al. [1982], Jensen and Thomas [1994] and Rapp et al. [2002] that while on monthly time scales AGW

drive the refrigeration process that produces the cold summer mesopause, and hence cloud formation. These waves tend to destroy PMC on shorter daily scales and within specific geographic regions. The results from lidar observations made at different locations have been inconclusive regarding correlations between PMC backscatter and local AGW activity. Lidar measurements made at Søndrestrom (67°N, 51°W), Greenland and at Rothera (67.5°S, 68°W) Antarctica which have showed AGW activity to be inversely proportional to PMC backscattering, lie in regions where AGW activity is generally higher in both hemispheres. Kuhlungsborn (54°N, 11.7°E), Germany, Alomar (69.3N, 17.6°W), Norway and Davis (68.6°S, 78°E), Antarctica, where lidar observations noted no significant correlation between PMC brightness and stratospheric AGW activity, lie in regions of low AGW activity as seen from CIPS observations. Hence our analysis suggests that the apparently conflicting results between fixed lidar sites may result from the local differences in the existing background temperatures at PMC altitudes and the amount of local AGW activity. In this context CIPS observations provide a unique opportunity to study the longitudinal differences in AGW activity and its effects on PMC occurrence.

Current and future work include a detailed study of LT and tidal effects as potential causes for the observed longitudinal variability, a detailed comparison between OSIRIS and CIPS PMC longitudinal structures, a study of the orientations of the detected wave structures and investigation of the propagation of mountain waves to mesospheric altitudes in summer to account for a possible orographic origin of some of the observed PMC wave structures.

Acknowledgement

AIM was developed as part of the NASA Small Explorer series of missions under contract NAS5-03132. We acknowledge the efforts of the entire development, engineering, science and operations teams. The authors would also like to acknowledge the discussions and contributions from Kim Nielsen, Eric Jensen, Svetlana Petelina and Chris Jeppesen for their help with science inputs and in analyzing the data. We

would also like to acknowledge the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments in improving the manuscript.

References.

- Bailey, M.S., Thomas, G.E., Rusch, D.W., Merkel, A.W., Jeppesen, C., Carstens, J.N., Randall, C.E., McClintock, W.E., Russell, J.M., III, (2009). Phase Functions of Polar Mesospheric Cloud Ice as Observed by the CIPS Instrument on the AIM Satellite, *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics.*, 71,3-4, 373-380,doi: 10.1016/j.jastp.2008.10.011
- Baumgarten, G., and J. Fiedler, (2008). Vertical structure of particle properties and water content in noctilucent clouds, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L10811, doi:10.1029/2007GL033084
- Carbary, J. F., Morrison, D., Romick, G. J., (2000). Transpolar structure of polar mesospheric clouds, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105, D20, 24763-24769.
- Chandler, R. C., (2005), A wavelet based method for the extraction of sea wave orientation, OCEANS 2005 MTS/IEEE meeting, September, Washington D.C, (050214-10)
- Chandran, A., Rusch D. W., Palo, S.E., Thomas, G.E., and Taylor M.J., (2009), Gravity wave observations in the summertime polar mesosphere from the Cloud Imaging and Particle Size (CIPS) Experiment on the AIM Spacecraft, *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics.*, 71, 3-4, 392-400, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2008.09.041
- Chu, X., Yamashita, C., Espy, P.J., Nott, G.J., Jensen, E.J., Liu, H.-L., Huang, W., Thayer, J.P., (2009). Responses of polar mesospheric cloud brightness to stratospheric gravity waves at the South Pole and Rothera, Antarctica. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 71, 3-4, 434-445, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2008.10.002
- Eckermann, S. D., (1995). Effect of background winds on vertical wavenumber spectra of atmospheric gravity waves, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100(D7), 14,097–14,112

- Forbes, J. M., X. Zhang, S. Palo, J. Russell, C. J. Mertens, and M. Mlynczak, (2008). Tidal variability in the ionospheric dynamo region, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, A02310, doi:10.1029/2007JA012737.
- Fritts, D.C., L. Wang, J. Werne, T. Lund, and K. Wan, (2009). Gravity Wave Instability Dynamics at High Reynolds Numbers. Part I: Wave Field Evolution at Large Amplitudes and High Frequencies. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 66, 1126–1148. doi:10.1175/2008JAS2726.1
- Fritts, D. C., Isler, J. R., Thomas, G. E., (1993). Wave breaking signatures in noctilucent clouds, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 20, 19, 2039-2042.
- Gadsden, M., Parviainen, P., (1995). Observing Noctilucent Clouds, *International Association of Geomagnetism and Aeronomy*. ISBN 0-9650686-0-9, available online at http://www.iugg.org/IAGA/iaga_pages/pdf/ONC_Sep06.pdf
- Garcia, R. R. (1989), Dynamics, Radiation, and Photochemistry in the Mesosphere: Implications for the Formation of Noctilucent Clouds, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 94(D12).
- Gerding, M., Höffner, J., Rauthe, M., Singer, W., Zecha, M., Lübken, F.-J., (2007), Simultaneous observation of noctilucent clouds, mesospheric summer echoes, and temperature at a midlatitude station (54°N), *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112, D12111, doi:10.1029/2006JD008135.
- Gerrard, A. J., Kane, T. J., Eckermann, S. D., Thayer, J. P., (2004). Gravity waves and mesospheric clouds in the summer middle atmosphere: A comparison of lidar measurements and ray modeling of gravity waves over Sondrestrom, Greenland, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 109, D10103, doi:10.1029/2002JD002783.
- Gerrard, A., J. Thayer, and T. Kane (2002), Mesospheric clouds and the duality of gravity waves, *Eos Trans. AGU*, 83(43), 488
- Gerrard, A. J., Kane, T. J., Thayer, J. P., (1998). Noctilucent clouds and wave dynamics: Observations at Sondrestrom, Greenland, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 25(15), 2817-2820.

- Gilman, D. L., Fuglister, F. J., Mitchell Jr, J. M., (1963), On the power spectrum of “red noise.”, *Journal of Atmospheric Science*, 20, 2867-2878.
- Hagan, M. E., and J. M. Forbes, (2002). Migrating and nonmigrating diurnal tides in the middle and upper atmosphere excited by tropospheric latent heat release, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D24), 4754, doi:10.1029/2001JD001236.
- Hagan, M. E., and J. M. Forbes, (2003). Migrating and nonmigrating semidiurnal tides in the upper atmosphere excited by tropospheric latent heat release, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(A2), 1062, doi:10.1029/2002JA009466.
- Haurwitz, B., Fogle, B., (1969). Wave forms in noctilucent clouds, *Deep Sea Research*, 16, 85-95.
- Hernandez, G., G. J. Fraser, and R. W. Smith, (1993). Mesospheric 12-hour oscillation near South Pole, Antarctica, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 20, 1787–1790.
- Hecht, J. H., Thayer, J. P., Gutierrez, D. J., McKenzie, D. L., (1997). Multi instrument zenith observations of Noctilucent clouds over Greenland on July 30/31, 1995, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 102(D2), 1959-1970.
- Hines, C. O., (1968). A possible source of waves in noctilucent clouds, *Space Research IX*, 170-174.
- Holton, J. R., (1983). The influence of gravity wave breaking on the general circulation of the middle atmosphere, *Journal of Atmospheric Science*, 40, 2497-2507.
- Iimura, H., S. E. Palo, Q. Wu, T. L. Killeen, S. C. Solomon, and W. R. Skinner, 2009. Structure of the nonmigrating semidiurnal tide above Antarctica observed from the TIMED Doppler Interferometer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, D11102, doi:10.1029/2008JD010608.
- Innis, J., Klekociuk, A. R., Morris, R. J., Cunningham, A., Graham, A., Murphy, D. J., (2008). A Study of the Relationship between Stratospheric Gravity Waves and Polar Mesospheric Clouds at Davis, Antarctica, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113, D14102, doi:10.1029/2007JD009031.

- Jenkins, G. M., Watts, D. G., (1968), Spectral Analysis and its applications, *Holden-Day*, 525 pp
- Jensen, E. J., Thomas, G. E., (1994). Numerical simulations of the effects of gravity waves on noctilucent clouds, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 99, D2, 3421-3430.
- Leovy, C., (1964), Simple models of thermally driven mesospheric circulation, *Journal of Atmospheric Sciences*, v 21, n 3, p238-248
- Liu, H.-L. (2000), Temperature changes due to gravity wave saturation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105(D10), 12,329–12,336.
- Lübken, F.-J. (1999), Thermal structure of the Arctic summer mesosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104(D8), 9135–9149
- Lübken, F.-J., (1997). Seasonal variation of turbulent energy dissipation rates at high latitudes as determined by in situ measurements of neutral density fluctuations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 13,441-13,456
- McClintock, W.E., Rusch, D.W., Thomas, G.E., Merkel, A.W., Lankton M.R., Drake, V.A., Bailey, M.S., Russell, J.M., III, (2009). The Cloud Imaging and Particle Size Experiment on the Aeronomy Of Ice In The Mesosphere mission: Instrument concept, design, calibration and on-orbit performance, *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 71, 3-4, Pages 340-355, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2008.10.011
- Meriwether, J. W., X. Gao, V. B. Wickwar, T. Wilkerson, K. Beissner, S. Collins, and M. E. Hagan, (1998), Observed coupling of the mesosphere inversion layer to the thermal tidal structure, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25, 1479-1482
- Merkel, A.W., Rusch, D. W., Palo, S. E., Russell J.M., III, Bailey, S.M., (2009), Mesospheric planetary wave effects on global PMC variability inferred from AIM–CIPS and TIMED–SABER for the

northern summer 2007 PMC season, *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 71, 3-4, 381-391. doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2008.12.001

Merkel, A.W., Rusch, D.W., Thomas, G.E., Palo, S.E., Bailey, S.M., Russell, J.M., Hervig, M., Chandran, A., (2008), Longitudinal variability of Polar Mesospheric Cloud (PMC) albedo and frequency from the Cloud Imaging and Particle Size Experiment: Comparison of the 2007 and 2008 Northern Hemisphere cloud seasons, *AGU Fall 2008 meeting*, SA43A-1563.

Murphy, D. J., et al., (2006). A climatology of tides in the Antarctic mesosphere and lower thermosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D23104, doi:10.1029/2005JD006803.

Olivero, J. J. and Thomas, G. E., (1986), Climatology of Polar Mesospheric Clouds, *J. Geophys Res.*, v 43, n 12, p 1263-74.

Petelina, S.V., Rusch, D.W., (2008), Detection of mesospheric gravity waves in Odin/OSIRIS PMC data in 2002- 2008, *AGU Fall 2008 meeting*, SA43A-1566.

Portnyagin, Y. I., J. M. Forbes, N. A. Makarov, E. G. Merzlyakov, and S. Palo, (1998). The summertime 12-h wind oscillation with zonal wavenumber $s = 1$ in the lower thermosphere over the South Pole, *Ann. Geophys.*, 16, 828–837.

Rapp, M., Lübken, F.-J., Müllemann, A., Thomas, G. E., Jensen, E. J., (2002). Small-scale temperature variations in the vicinity of NLC: Experimental and model results, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 107(D19), 4392, doi:10.1029/2001JD001241.

Rapp, M., Thomas, G.E., (2006). Modeling the microphysics of mesospheric ice particles: assessment of current capabilities and basic sensitivities. *J. Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phys.* 68, 715–744. doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2005.10.015

- Remsberg, E. E., B. T. Marshall, M. Garcia-Comas, D. Krueger, G. S. Lingenfelser, J. Martin-Torres, M. G. Mlynczak, J. M. Russell III, A. K. Smith, Y. Zhao, C. Brown, L. L. Gordley, M. J. Lopez-Gonzalez, M. Lopez-Puertas, C.Y. She, M. J. Taylor, and R. E. Thompson, (2008), Assessment of the quality of the Version 1.07 temperature versus pressure profiles of the middle atmosphere from TIMED/SABER, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D17101, doi:10.1029/2008JD010013.
- Rodenas, J. A., Garello, R., (1998). Internal wave detection and location in SAR images using wavelet transform, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, Vol 36, No. 5, 1494-1507.
- Rusch, D. W., Thomas, G. E., McClintock, W., Merkel, A.W., Bailey, S.M., Russell J.M., III, Randall, C. E., Jeppesen, C., Callan, M., (2009). The Cloud Imaging and Particle Size Experiment on the Aeronomy of Ice in the Mesosphere mission: Cloud morphology for the northern 2007 season, *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 71, 3-4, Pages 356-364, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2008.11.005
- Russell III, J. M., Mlynczak, M. G., Gordley, L. L., Tansock, J, Esplin, R., (1999), An overview of the SABER experiment and preliminary calibration result., *In: Proceedings of the SPIE*, 44th Annual Meeting, vol. 3756, July 18–23, pp. 277–288.
- Russell III, J. M., S. M. Bailey, M. Hor'anyi, L. L. Gordley, D. W. Rusch, M. E. Hervig, G. E. Thomas, C. E. Randall, D. E. Siskind, M. H. Stevens, M. E. Summers, M. J. Taylor, C. R. Englert, P. J. Espy, W. E. McClintock, and A. W. Merkel (2009), Aeronomy of Ice in the Mesosphere (AIM): Overview and early science results (2009), *J. Atmos. Terr. Phys.*, 71 (3–4), 289–299, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2008.08.011.
- Salby, M. L., (1982a). Sampling theory for asynoptic satellite observations, part I, Space-time spectra, resolution and aliasing, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 39, 2577–2600.

- Salby, M. L., (1982b). Sampling theory for asynoptic satellite observations, part II, Fast Fourier synoptic mapping, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 39, 2601–2614.
- Schöch, A., (2007), Thermal structure and gravity waves in the Arctic middle atmosphere above ALOMAR (69.3° N, 16.0° E), *PhD thesis*, Leibniz-Institute for Atmospheric Physics, Kühlungsborn, Available from <http://www.iap-kborn.de/Thesis-Master-Diploma-PhD.64.0.html?&L=1>
- Smith, A. K., (1983). Stationary waves in the winter stratosphere: seasonal and interannual variability, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 40, 245–261.
- Smith, A. K., (1997). Stationary planetary waves in upper mesospheric winds, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 54, 2129–2145.
- Tao, X., and C. S. Gardner,(1995), Heat flux observations in the mesopause region above Haleakala, *Geophys.Res. Lett.*, 22, 2829-2832
- Thayer, J. P., Rapp, M., Gerrard, A. J., Gudmundsson, E., Kane, T. J., (2003). Gravity wave influences on Arctic mesospheric clouds as determined by a Rayleigh lidar at Sondrestrom, Greenland, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108(D8), 8449, doi:10.1029/2002JD002363.
- Thomas, G. E. and Olivero, J. J., (1989), Climatology of Polar Mesospheric Clouds 2. Further Analysis of Solar Mesosphere Explorer Data, *JGR*, 94, 14,673-14,681
- Torrence C., Compo G. P., (1998). A practical guide to wavelet analysis, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 79, No.1, 61 – 78.
- Turco, R.P., Toon, O. B., Whitten, R. C., Keesee, R. G., Hollenback, D., (1982). Noctilucent clouds: Simulation studies of their genesis, properties and global influences, *Planetary and Space Science*, 30, 1147-1181.

Walterscheid, R. L., (1981a), Dynamical cooling induced by dissipating internal gravity waves, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 8, 1235-1238

Witt, G., (1962). Height, structure, and displacements of noctilucent clouds, *Tellus*, 14, 1-8.

Zhang, X., J. M. Forbes, M. E. Hagan, J. M. Russell III, S. E. Palo, C. J. Mertens, and M. G. Mlynczak, (2006). Monthly tidal temperatures 20–120 km from TIMED/SABER, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, A10S08, doi:10.1029/2005JA011504.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. CIPS forward camera images in grayscale with the background scattering removed. (a) CIPS image taken 50° N at the start of the PMC season on May 28th; (b) CIPS image taken on July 17th at 80° N showing multiple PMC structures. The white lines are contour lines for longitude and latitude. (c) plot showing albedo values along a trace (denoted by dashed red line) and polynomial fit made to the data in solid red through the image in figure 1a. (d) plot showing the albedo along a trace, orthogonal to the wave front, numbered one (denoted by dashed red line) and polynomial fit made to a trace through the wave field in figure 1b

Figure 2. Four panel plot showing wavelet power spectrum of the residual from the traces in figure 1b along (a) trace numbered one having horizontal wavelength at 255 km (b) trace numbered two having multiple waves with horizontal wavelength at ~ 150 km and ~ 60 km (c) trace numbered three having horizontal wavelength at 158 km (d) trace numbered four having horizontal wavelength at 57 km.

Figure 3. Graphical depiction of wave detection algorithm.

Figure 4. Histogram plot showing distribution of horizontal scales of structures detected in PMC in (a) for wave structures less than 150 km in horizontal scales (b) for all detected wave structures

Figure 5. Longitudinal variability in the relative AGW occurrence (WOF) (a) 2007 NH, (b) 2008 NH, (c) 2007/2008 SH, and (d) 2008/2009

Figure 6. Longitudinal variability in the normalized AGW occurrence (NWOFF) for structures less than 150 km in horizontal scales for (a) 2007 NH, (b) 2008 NH, (c) 2007/2008 SH, and (d) 2008/2009

Figure 7. Longitudinal variability in the normalized AGW occurrence (NWOFF), SABER temperature data (red line) and CIPS observed normalized cloud occurrence (COF) (dashed blue lines) for (a) 2007 NH, (b) 2008 NH, (c) 2007/2008 SH, and (d) 2008/2009

Table 1. Correlation of GW occurrences with SABER temperatures and PMC occurrences. Correlation values which are significant at 95 % confidence levels are in bold.

| Season | Un-normalized WOF (all Wavelengths) | | NWOFF (all Wavelengths) | | NWOFF ($\lambda_h < 150$ km) | |
|-----------------|--|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | CIPS PMC occurrence | SABER Temperature | CIPS PMC occurrence | SABER Temperature | CIPS PMC occurrence | SABER Temperature |
| 2007 NH | -0.74 | 0.61 | -0.82 | 0.6 | -0.71 | 0.52 |
| 2008 NH | -0.55 | 0.21 | -0.78 | 0.33 | -0.61 | 0.27 |
| 2007/2008 SH | -0.89 | 0.73 | -0.94 | 0.72 | -0.87 | 0.68 |
| 2008/2009 SH | -0.86 | 0.38 | -0.92 | 0.4 | -0.84 | 0.36 |











